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#### Abstract

Surface molecularly imprinted CdTe nanoparticles with molecular recognitive activity were prepared by reverse microemulsion polymerization with parathion as template molecules, 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane and tetramethoxysilane as the polymerization precursors, and cross-linking. The determination method for parathion was developed on the basis of the fluorescence quenching of quantum dots caused by parathion due to the binding of molecularly imprinted cavities to parathion. The synthesized material had a distinguished selectivity and high binding affinity to parathion compared with chlopyrifos, diazinon, and pyrimithate. Under optimal conditions, the relative fluorescence intensity of polymers decreased with increases of the concentration of parathion in the range $0.05-1000 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$. The decreasing tendency of fluorescence intensity with increasing parathion concentration abides by the logistical growth curve with a detected limit of $0.218 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$. This method was used to detect for parathion in water samples, for which recoveries ranging from $97.72 \%$ to $100.59 \%$ were obtained.
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## Introduction

Organophosphorous pesticides (OPs) are a broad group of chemicals widely used in agriculture. OPs irreversibly inhibit acetylcholinesterase (AchE), which is essential to the nerve function in insects, humans, and many other animals [1]. Parathion, as one of the most

[^0]important OPs, consists of a thiophosphoric moiety linked to a nitrobenzene group. Its toxicity is primarily associated with inhibition of AchE and leads to excessive cholinergic neurotransmission and subsequent signs of toxicity, including autonomic dysfunction, involuntary movements, and, ultimately, death [2]. For human health protection and environmental control, it is an important challenge worldwide to develop a rapid, selective, and sensitive method for determining parathion.

Among the various detection techniques, fluorescent probes make the best choice, since they are qualified
with high sensitivity, high selectivity, fast response, and with direct detection [3]. The combination of the specific recognition properties of molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) and the excellent optical properties of quantum dots (QDs) for recognition signal amplification and optical readout have drawn great attention. Coreshell magnetic fluorescent MIPs for the detection of traces of $\lambda$-cyhalothrin were prepared by copolymerization of acrylamide with a small quantity of allyl fluorescein in the presence of $\lambda$-cyhalothrin to form recognition sites without doping [4]. A similar method was developed for cyphenothrin [5]. In addition, a similar method for $\lambda$-Cyhalothrin on the basis of the fluorescence quenching of $\mathrm{YVO}_{4}: \mathrm{Eu}_{3}$ @ $@$ MIPs has been developed, allowing us to achieve a determination limit as low as $1.76 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}[6]$. The fluorescent CdTe/MIPs to the Bovine Hemoglobin were fabricated by 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES) and tetramethoxysilane (TEOS) as the functional monomers and cross linker, respectively [7]. A recent review of QDbased sensors stated its usage based on chemosensors for ions and small molecules, and for biosensors as described by Cui [8]. Not only QDs, but two-photon fluorescent chemical molecular probes for $\beta$-galactosidase, plus a supramolecular glycoprobe for quick serological detection of a cancer biomarker also were prepared and have been reported previously [9, 10].

Although the MIPs to parathion were developed for an analogous enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay [11], the fluorescent probes for the determination of parathion based on couple grafting of functional MIPs on the surface of QDs has not yet been investigated. The purpose of this work was to develop a high affinity QDs@MIPs sensor for selective determination of trace parathion in water samples. We used CdTe QDs as supports, and used APTES, TEOS, and parathion as the functional monomer, crosslinker, and template, respectively, to produce surface graft imprinting materials. The developed QDs@MIPs could selectively bind parathion, which quickly quenches QD fluorescence, and therefore is capable of accurate quantification in a broad detection range. Moreover, this sensor had advantages of being more economical and eco-friendly as compared to chromatographic methods, and being faster and easier to use than immunoassay. The developed QDs@MIPs could provide a new and general strategy for the sensitive and selective detection of OPs.

## Experimental

## Materials and Apparatus

Unless otherwise stated, all chemicals used in this work were of analytical-reagent grade and used without further purification. Cadmium acetate dihydrate, sodium borohydride, sodium hydroxide, ammonium hydroxide, chloroform, cyclohexane, acetone, and ethanol were provided by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Shanghai Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Tellurium powder (99.99\%), APTES, TEOS, and thioglycollic acid (TGA) were
purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company (St. Louis, MO, USA). Parathion, chlopyrifos, diazinon, and pyrimithate were purchased from the Institute of Environmental Protection, Ministry of Agriculture (Tianjin, China). The structures of pesticides are shown in Fig. 1. Ultrapure water ( $18.3 \mathrm{M} \Omega$ ) obtained from Millipore Milli-Q purification system (Boston, America) was used to prepare solutions.

Fluorescence spectra were obtained at room temperature using an F7000 spectrofluorimeter (Hitachi, Japan) equipped with 1 cm quartz cuvette at slit width of 5 nm . Absorption spectra were collected using a UV1800 PC UV-vis spectrophotometer (Jinhua, Shanghai) at room temperature. Morphological evaluation was examined with a transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEM2100F, Japan).

## Synthesis of CdTe QDs

Thioglycollic acid-capped CdTe quantum dots were synthesized according to the reported method from the literature [12, 13]. Briefly, 160 mg of cadmium acetate dihydrate, $130 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of pure TGA, and 75 mL of distilled water were mixed in a three-necked flask to form the cadmium precursor. The addition of 1 N NaOH drops was then used to adjust the pH to 12 under vigorous stirring for 30 min . The cadmium and thiol solution was placed in a 150 mL three-neck flask with a thermometer and a large stirbar. Deaeration of the solution was performed under a robust flow of nitrogen with stirring at room temperature. A fresh aqueous solution of NaHTe was prepared by reacting 80 mg of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ and 80 mg of tellurium powder. Then 1 mL NaHTe aqueous solution was injected into the reaction system under stirring. At that time the solution changed from clear to a bright, optically transparent orange tone.

## Preparation of MIP-Capped CdTe QDs (QDs@MIPs)

Parathion-imprinted silica nanosphere embedded CdTe quantum dots were synthesized by modified reverse microemulsion methods [14]. 1.2 mL of Triton X-100
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Fig. 1. The structure of pesticides.
and 1.8 mL of chloroform were dispersed in 7.5 mL of cyclohexane, $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of CdTe QDs, and $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ammonia to form a microemulsion solution. Next, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of TEOS was added to initiate hydrolysis. After the mixture was stirred for $30 \mathrm{~min}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ of ethanol solution of 5 mg of parathion and $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of APTES were added and the mixture was stirred for 24 h . Finally, the microemulsion was broken by 10 mL of acetone, and the resultant precipitate was washed in sequence with ethanol and water. After removal of the supernatant, 3 mL of water was added, and the silica particles were regimented again by centrifuge for 20 min . The nonimprinted polymer (NIP) was synthesized in parallel but without the addition of parathion.

## Analysis of Water Samples

2.5 mL of QDs@MIPs and 0.5 mL of ultrapure water were added to the quartz cuvette. The concentration of QDs@MIPs was adjusted so that a fluorescence intensity of approximately 7,000 a.u. was achieved. To evaluate the QDs@MIPs binding capability to pesticides, 2.5 mL of QDs solution at uniform concentration and 0.5 mL of pesticides solution at different concentrations were mixed in a centrifuge tube and the fluorescence intensity was measured. The parallel experiments were performed with NIPs.

Surface river water samples were collected from local rivers. The samples were filtered through $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filters and stored in pre-cleaned glass bottles. As no parathion in the collected water samples was detectable by the proposed method, a recovery study was carried out on the samples spiked with 3-60 $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ parathion to evaluate the developed method.

## Results and Discussion

## Preparation of QD-kernelled MIPs

Of polymeric materials, silica with a highly crosslinked rigid matrix and hydrophilic surface has a wide


Fig. 2. Scheme of preparation and usage of QDs@MIPs. The upper part of the figure indicates that the preparation of QDs@ MIPs, and the lower part appeared the template quenching the fluorescence of QDs@MIPs.
choice of functional precursors and structural forms [14, 15]. In this work, we fabricated an imprinted silica matrix embedded with CdTe QDs, which could be adopted to monitor the target molecules by the changes of fluorescence intensity of CdTe QDs. MIP-capped CdTe QDs were prepared via a surface molecular imprinting process similar to a previously reported procedure [16-18]. The O, O-diethyl, and nitrophenyl groups of parathion can form strong hydrogen bonding with the amino groups of the functional monomer to form MIP film on the surface of QDs (Fig. 2).

The imprinting process would allow the formation of appropriately sized recognition cavities for parathion. The thickness of the MIP film is a key factor that affects site accessibility and mass-transfer resistance of an analyze [19]. The amount of TEOS used was found to be crucial for the sensitivity of parathion detection. A high amount of TEOS results in a large share of silica not dotted with QD, and thus in a correspondingly low intensity of the MIP fluorescence. On the other hand a too small amount gives insufficient capping of the template molecules and thus reduces the binding capacity of the $\mathrm{QD} @$ MIPs formed [20]. The TEOS:APTES $=3: 1$ ratio is optimized in our experiments.

The UV-vis absorption spectrum of QD@MIPs is shown in Fig. 3 and is identical to the absorption spectrum of free CdTe QD reported in the literature [21]. Fig. 3 also shows the fluorescence spectrum obtained upon excitation at 365 nm exhibiting a maximum at 556 nm . The fluorescence property of the bared QD was unchanged by its incorporation into the MIP structure. This phenomenon was also discovered in the literatures of [12, 13]. TEM images were taken for QDs@MIPs (Fig. 4). TEM images illustrated that the polymers are highly spherical and monodispersed with an average size of about 10 nm .

## Fluorescence Quenching Analysis

The prepared MIPs exhibited good fluorescence signal. Typically, MIPs had symmetric fluorescence emission at 556 nm obtained upon excitation at 365 nm . As a recognition element, MIPs also exhibited the fluorescence quenching response to the template of parathion. The degree of fluorescence quenching is related to parathion concentration (Fig. 5).

The fluorescence quenching of MIP-QDs with various concentrations of parathion ranging from 0.05 to 1,000 $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ was measured. We found that the fluorescence of the MIP-QDs gradually quenched with increasing concentrations of parathion. There was no overlap between the absorption band of parathion ( $\lambda \max 280 \mathrm{~nm}$ [22]) and the fluorescence emission band of the QDs, which was suited at 556 nm , and so the fluorescence quenching mechanism of QDs induced by parathion was not fluorescence resonance energy transfer, [23] but probably electron transfer fluorescence quenching [18, 24].
In order to investigate the binding performance of the MIPs and NIPs based on hydrophobic CdTe QD nanomaterials, an equilibrium binding analysis was


Fig. 3. (a) The absorbance spectrum and (b) fluorescence spectrum of QDs@MIPs.


Fig. 4. TEM of QDs@MIPs.


Fig. 5. Fluorescence spectra of MIP based on QDs@MIPs with increasing concentrations of parathion.



Fig. 6 Selectivity of (a) MIP and (b) NIP based on QDs@MIPs for parathion (square), chlopyrifos (triangle), diazinon (spheric), and pyrimithate (diamond).


Fig. 7. Standard curve for parathion.
carried out using 1.0~20 $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of parathion, chlopyrifos, diazinon, and pyrimithate (Fig. 6). The analyte quenches the fluorescence of the QD in a concentration dependence in agreement with the Stern-Volmer equation as described in the literature [14, 16, 25]. The Stern-Volmer formula is given as:

$$
F_{0} / F=1+K s v[Q]
$$

$\ldots$...where F and $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ are the fluorescent intensities of the QDs@ MIPs at a given related analyte concentration and in an analyte-free solution, respectively. $K s v$ is the Stern-Volmer quenching constant, and $[Q]$ is the analyte concentration.

Fig. 7 illustrated the corresponding plot that showed a linear relationship between fluorescence response and parathion concentration in the range of $1.0 \sim 20 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ with a correlation coefficient of 0.9999 . The linear Stern-Volmer relationships of both MIPs and NIPs to four organphosphorus pesticides were observed with correlation coefficient range of 0.953-0.999. The $K s v$ of parathion in the case of $\mathrm{QD} @$ MIP is much higher than those of the other selected pesticides due to imprinted silica particles exhibiting the high specific binding ability for parathion compared to non-imprinted silica particles. This phenomenon showed evidence for an efficient imprinting effect of QDs@MIPs.

It is well known that the imprinting factor (IF) is an important index to evaluate the extent of quenching and sensitivity of the imprinted materials. IF is generally defined as $\alpha=K_{M T P s} / K_{\text {NIPs }}$. Where $K_{M T P s}$ and $K_{\text {NIPs }}$ are adsorption capacities of the template on MIPs and NIPs, respectively [24]. The IF values were $8.73,1.45,1.35$, and 1.43 for parathion chlopyrifos, diazinon, and pyrimithate, respectively (Table 1). High IF indicated that the cavities with binding sites in MIPs provided a better space for parathion. In addition, all of the analogues display little response to both MIPs and NIPs. The Ksv of analogues in MIPs was higher than that in NIPs. In view of these results, chlorpyrifos most likely competes strongest with parathion for the MIP binding sites.

## Determination of Parathion

The primary researchers have pointed out that the standard curves based on fluorescence quenching could be done in terms of Stern-Volmer formulation [6, 26]. The SternVolmer with good linear relationships fit under the narrow range of concentration. The four parameter logistic nonlinear regression model is commonly used for curvefitting analysis in bioassays [27-29]. It has been described as follows:

$$
F(x)=\frac{A-D}{1+(x / C)^{B}}+D
$$

...where $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ is the response value (calculated as $\left.F_{0} / F-1\right), \mathrm{A}$ is the max response value in the present of highest concentration parathion, D is the least response value without parathion, B is slope, and C is parathion concentration corresponding half response effect.

In the concentration range of $0.05 \sim 1,000 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of parathion, the non-linear S-shape relationship between $F_{0} / F-1$ and parathion concentration was observed with
the regression equation of $F(x)=\frac{3.094-0.011}{1+(x / 44.624)^{1.051}}+0.011$
and a correlation coefficient of 0.9991 . The limit of detection (LOD), calculated as parathion concentration

Table 1. Fluorescence quenching constants of (a) MIP and (b) NIP based on QDs@MIPs for parathion, chlopyrifos, diazinon, and pyrimithate.

| Quenching constants | Parathion | Chlopyrifos | Diazinon | Pyrimithate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $K s v_{- \text {MIP }}$ | 0.05839 | 0.01396 | 0.00654 | 0.00674 |
| $K s v_{- \text {NIP }}$ | 0.00669 | 0.00962 | 0.00484 | 0.00472 |
| $\mathrm{IF}^{*}$ | 8.72795 | 1.45114 | 1.35124 | 1.42796 |
| $\mathrm{~K}^{* *}$ | 1 | 4.18266 | 8.92813 | 8.66320 |

[^1]Table 2. Spiked recovery results for the determination of parathion in water samples $(n=7)$.

| Sample | Spiked <br> $\left(10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}\right)$ | Found <br> $\left(10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}\right)$ | Recovery (\%) | RSD (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River water | 0.5 | 0.49 | 97.72 | 1.6 |
|  | 5 | 5.09 | 101.6 | 2.1 |
|  | 10 | 9.9 | 100.59 | 2 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.5 | 99.7 | 2 |
|  | 5 | 4.96 | 99.29 | 2.21 |
|  | 10 | 10.01 | 100.1 | 2 |

which produced enhancement three times the standard deviation of the blank signal, was $0.218 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ and the limit of quantity ( 10 times the standard deviation of the blank signal) was $0.642 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$.

## Application to Real Water Sample Analysis

In order to evaluate the feasibility of the proposed method in real sample detection, the QDs@MIPs sensor has been applied for the determination of parathion in tap water and river water samples. The results obtained by standard addition method were summarized in Table 2. It can be seen that the recoveries in the real water samples were between 97.72 and $100.59 \%$, and the relative standard deviation (RSD) was lower than $2.21 \%$. The above results demonstrated the good accuracy and precision of the developed method, which suggested that this sensor has the capability for detecting parathion in real water samples.

## Conclusion

We have developed a novel quantum dots embedded MIPs for parathion to construct the fluorescence quenching MIPs-based probe to detect the parathion in water samples. The rebinding of the target analytes to the recognition sites of MIPs cavities thus resulted in the decreasing fluorescence emission of CdTe quantum dots. The QDs@MIPs integrated the high selectivity of MIPs and the strong fluorescence property of QDs. The simple, rapid, and reliable detecting method based on QDs@MIPs developed by QDs@MIPs opens up attractive perspectives for OPs monitoring.
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[^1]:    *: IF stands for the value of $K s v_{{ }_{\text {-miP }}} / K s v_{- \text {-IIP }}$ in the same one pesticide.
    **: K stands for the value of $K s v_{- \text {MIP }}$ of parathion divided by $K s v_{- \text {MIP }}$ of chlopyrifos, diazinon, and pyrimithate, respectively.

